

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/601,626	06/24/2003	Lee-Wen Jien	3073/66	1105	
23338 759	90 04/06/2005		EXAMINER		
DENNISON, SCHULTZ, DOUGHERTY & MACDONALD			WILLIAMS, MARK A		
1727 KING STE SUITE 105	REET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			3676		
			DATE MAILED: 04/06/2004	DATE MAILED: 04/06/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/601,626	JIEN, LEE-WEN			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mark A. Williams	3676			
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is precised of reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply speriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sition of thirty (30) day within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	,					
1) 🗌 F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\frac{\sqrt{ } \sqrt{ }}{ }$	<u>5</u> .				
	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
-						
Dispositio	on of Claims					
5)□ (6)⊠ (7)□ (Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are pending in the application. a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Applicatio	n Papers		,			
10)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Examiner the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the objection to the objection drawing specific including the correction	epted or b) objected to by the formula of the formula of the behavior of the formula of the form	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priorical pulcation from the International Bureause the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s	s)	_				
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🔲 Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/601,626 Page 2

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of Tallent et al., US Patent 5,529,201. AAPA discloses the claimed invention except teaching the pivot seat further including a recess for receiving a third O-ring and a circular protrusion, as claimed. Tallent teaches this structure at 36 and 30 for sealing purposes. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made for one skilled in the art to have included in the design of AAPA such a modification, for the purpose of providing additional sealing means to the device.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

í

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark A. Williams whose telephone number is (703) 305-3438. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,626

Art Unit: 3676

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or

proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR

only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Williams

3/30/05

Suzanne Dino Barrett

Primary Examiner